1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CALIFORNIA EXPANDED CASE NO. C18-0659JLR 10 METAL PRODUCTS COMPANY, ORDER ADOPTING REPORT 11 et al., AND RECOMMENDATION 12 Plaintiffs, v. 13 JAMES A. KLEIN, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Before the court is Special Master Mark Walters's report and recommendation on 17 Plaintiffs California Expanded Metal Products Company and Clarkwestern Dietrich 18 Building Systems LLC's (collectively, "Plaintiffs") motion to compel Defendants James 19 A. Klein and Safti-Seal, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") to fully respond to various 20 requests for production. (R&R (Dkt. # 213).) Due to disagreements over whether 21 Defendants had turned over all documents, Plaintiffs request an order allowing a third 22

~ R. Rlit

1 party to independently image and search Defendants' servers, computers and electronic 2 devices to confirm that all responsive documents have been produced. (*Id.* at 2-5.) 3 Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(f), the court must decide de novo all objections to the findings of fact or conclusions of law made or recommended by a 4 5 special master. Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(f)(3)-(4). Here, neither party objects to Mr. Walters's recommendation. (See Dkt.; see also R&R at 9 (allowing parties to file objections, if 6 7 any, within ten days).) The court has reviewed Mr. Walters's report and recommendation 8 in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(f), the relevant portions of the record, and the applicable law. Having done so, the court finds Mr. Walters's reasoning 9 10 persuasive and independently reaches the same conclusions for the reasons articulated by 11 Mr. Walters. Accordingly, the court ADOPTS the report and recommendation in its entirety (Dkt. # 213) and DENIES Plaintiffs' motion to compel without prejudice. 12 13 Dated this 16th day of April, 2021. 14 15 JAMES L. ROBART 16 United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22